

RISK of special meeting request by developer to LT Planning Commission

1 message

David Brigham <dcbrig@gmail.com>

Sat, Oct 16, 2021 at 11:20 AM

To: Lt Super < ltsuper@leelanautwp.org>, leelanautownshipzoning@gmail.com, lttreasurer@leelanautwp.org, gina.harder1@gmail.com, Deb VanPelt < ltclerk@leelanautwp.org>, plrebori@yahoo.com, Tom Weber < tcweber68@gmail.com>

Dear all: The Planning Commission and public viewers were blindsided at the end Thursday's meeting by the request to provide a special meeting for the developer.

* The fear/RISK of holding a special meeting at the request of the developer is this: You will find yourselves TRAPPED and make statements that will later

be HELD AGAINST YOU when you are "obligated" speak to the ACTUAL PLAN that has NOT yet been submitted.

To agree to hold this special meeting is a DANGEROUS choice. If you do hold such a meeting, it would be WISE to make it clear ahead of time that you do not have to respond to their questions.

It appears that the Township Board is afraid of being sued. WHY?

Is the board being muscled by the developer? Who is the real "BULLY"?

Please be very cautious and don't do something that you will REGRET. Reconsider the idea of attending this special meeting at the request of the developer. You are not obligated.

Thank you, David Brigham



Fwd: TS presentation to the Planning Commission.

1 message

Barbara Weber
bgweber2@gmail.com>
To: leelanautownshipzoning@gmail.com

Fri, Oct 15, 2021 at 5:36 PM

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Barbara Weber

bgweber2@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2021 at 5:31 PM

Subject: TS presentation to the Planning Commission.

To: Lt Super < ltsuper@leelanautwp.org>

Good afternoon, John

I am quite stunned that part of the so called "settlement" of the moratorium lawsuit includes allowing Timber Shores developer to give a presentation to our Planning, prior to submitting a completed application. The commission is currently reviewing the existing Commercial Resort ordinance. It is totally inappropriate for a developer to essentially lobby the commission while they are actively working on an ordinance and special use permit that would ultimately impact their development. I respectfully request you remove any settlement provision that allows Planning to be influenced by the developer, prior to completion of their ordinance review.

I am also curious why the Township is even bothering to settle the suit. It becomes more clear Timber Shores developer filed the moratorium "cover" lawsuit, so they could ultimately have the "sewer" deed attachment revoked. Time for the court to rule on this. No settlement needed.

Sincerely, Barbara Gilmore Weber