

**KASSON TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING  
Monday, July 17, 2023; 7:00 pm  
Kasson Township Hall  
10988 S. Newman Road, Maple City, MI 49664  
MINUTES**

I. Call Meeting to Order/Pledge of Allegiance

Chairman Roush called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm with the Pledge of Allegiance.

II. Roll Call of Commissioners and Staff

A. Present: Tad Carter, Township Board Rep; Mike Lanham, Commissioner; Chuck Schaeffer, Secretary; Dave Noonan, Commissioner; Jerry Roush, Chairman

B. Staff: Tim Cypher, Zoning Administrator

C. Staff Excused: Allison Hubley-Patterson, Recording Secretary

D. Visitors present: In total, there were 34 members of the public present at various times during the meeting.

III. Consideration of Agenda (Attachment "A")

Chairman Roush asked for a motion to approve the agenda as presented. **LANHAM MOVED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED; NOONAN SECONDED. ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR, MOTION CARRIED.**

IV. Declaration of Conflicts of Interest

Chairman Roush indicated that he has a conflict of interest with regard to item #8 on the agenda. At this point, Vice Chairman Lanham will take over the meeting.

V. Approval of Minutes – June 19, 2023

Chairman Roush asked for a motion to approve the minutes of June 19, 2023 as presented. **NOONAN MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF JUNE 19, 2023 AS PRESENTED; SCHAEFFER SECONDED. ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR, MOTION CARRIED.**

## VI. Correspondence Received

Cypher stated that he received correspondence from Mr. Wendling; he is the legal counsel for the applicants in the Enduro matter. He also received a few other letters from people who expressed opposition to the project.

Schaeffer stated that he is aware that there has been at least one Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request in the Enduro matter. He informed the PC that he has received dozens of email messages, some of which are addressed to the Township Supervisor and asked Cypher if he needs copies of these email messages for the file. Cypher replied, "Yes"; Schaeffer will forward this correspondence to Cypher. Cypher asked that all Commissioners forward any correspondence that they receive.

## VII. Area Reports

- A. Chairperson - Chairman Roush – no report
- B. Secretary - Commissioner Schaeffer – no report
- C. Township Board - Commissioner Carter
- D. Zoning Board of Appeals - Commissioner Noonan – no report
- E. Zoning Administrator – ZA Cypher

Cypher reported that he distributed his report on July 8<sup>th</sup>. He issued nine land use permits for the month of June bringing the total to 25 for 2023. Three of the land use permits were for single family residences, one addition to a single-family residence, one deck, one accessory building, two agricultural buildings and one solar panel. The Township has been put on notice that there will be an appeal request on a decision that Cypher made; this will be discussed further under item #8 on the agenda. Cypher conducted 11 construction/site inspections during June. He supplied information via 41 telephone calls and 29 internet responses to Township residents and others. A total of \$675.00 was collected during the month. A copy of Cypher's report is on file with the Township office.

## VIII. Old Business

**\*At this point, Vice Chairman Lanham assumed the role of Chair for the discussion of Item A under "Old Business".**

- A. Attorney's recommendation for motion regarding Enduro Motorcycle Race (See sample motion language)

Chairman Lanham asked for discussion regarding legal counsel's motion which was attached to the agenda. Carter asked if everyone had read the attorney's letter related to this matter. Cypher stated that he received an email message late today from Mr. Grier stating that he has received Mr. Wendling's letter. There was insufficient time to respond to Mr. Wendling's letter; however, Cypher stated that this letter is something for the ZBA to consider at a future hearing.

Cypher read language from the second paragraph of Mr. Grier's letter indicating that the PC should defer to the motion that was prepared for the meeting this evening. Carter confirmed that, if the motion is approved, the PC would not proceed to the Findings of Fact. Cypher and Lanham replied that this is correct and Lanham added that the resolution would basically stop the Enduro applicant at this point.

Cypher stated that Mr. Grier and Mr. Wendling have had discussions over the past week regarding the Zoning Board of Appeals process. Cypher has been privy to some letters that are considered "privileged"; therefore, he can only paraphrase some of the comments in the letters.

There was a discussion held regarding the ZBA in terms of what could be applied for and what could not; one matter is on Section 7.15 and the other matter is the decision that Cypher made last week that all are aware of related to the applicant's desire to re-submit an application under the forested zoning district and the outdoor recreation section of the ordinance. Potentially, there could be two pending matters before the ZBA. The discussions had by Mr. Grier and Mr. Wendling last week pertained to these two matters.

Carter confirmed that it looks as if the Enduro matter will go before the ZBA. Cypher replied that this is correct and also reminded the PC that any applicant who is aggrieved by a decision that is made by the Planning Commission, the Township Board or Cypher himself has the right to appeal to the ZBA. Any member of the ZBA who is present this evening should not have any discussion with fellow board members or comment in any way on potential matters that could come before them.

Carter asked if this is a formality but Cypher stated that it is not just a formality. Cypher stated that until a PC decision is made, they do have the right to consider appealing to the ZBA. Cypher reiterated that anyone who is aggrieved has the right to appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Chairman Lanham asked each PC member if they had any additional questions pertaining to this matter. No additional questions or discussion were raised. Chairman Lanham then indicated that the next step would be to make a motion.

Noonan confirmed with Cypher that if this project is turned down, it will go to appeal and come before the ZBA. Cypher replied that there is probably a good chance of this. He stated that no matter what decision is made, someone is going to be aggrieved.

Noonan stated that he will abstain from voting this evening as he is a member of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

**CARTER MOVED TO DISCONTINUE CONSIDERATION OF THE SPECIAL USE PERMIT OF THE MICHIGAN SPRINT ENDURO MOTORCYCLE EVENT UNDER SECTION 7.15 OF THE KASSON TOWNSHIP ZONING ORDINANCE; SCHAEFFER SECONDED. ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR, MOTION CARRIED.**

Schaeffer asked Carter if he was reading from the three-page document that came from Mr. Grier. Carter confirmed that this is correct. The Onekema Township reference was discussed but this was removed as it was an error.

There is additional language in Mr. Grier's document which is also part of the motion.

**Roll Call Vote:**

Commissioner Carter – yes

Commissioner Schaeffer – yes

Commissioner Lanham – yes

Commissioner Noonan – abstained as he is a member of the Zoning Board of Appeals

**\*At this point, Chairman Roush returned to the role of Chair for the discussion of Item B under “Old Business”.**

**B. GAAMPs – Farm Market definition**

Cypher stated that at the last meeting, he was requested to send out information from the Michigan Department of Agriculture regarding the GAAMPs for farm markets. This is a guidance document used throughout the State of Michigan related to roadside stands and farm markets. The language in the document that has been provided to the PC treats roadside stands and farm markets similarly with a few exceptions.

Cypher indicated that the Kasson Township Zoning Ordinance is clear regarding the separation of roadside stands and farm markets. Roadside stands are an allowable use by right within the Ag zoning district. If someone wanted to have a farm market, they would be required to come before the PC for a special land use approval.

Chairman Roush asked the PC if they would like to have any discussion. Carter asked if this could be incorporated into the zoning ordinance. Cypher stated that this document changes annually. Lanham asked if the definition is not in the ordinance, is it then the zoning administrator's job to basically make the determination? Cypher replied that this is correct. Lanham stated that the zoning administrator should be able to determine what is a farm stand versus the Township having this defined in the zoning ordinance. Cypher stated that he has already done this using the roadside stand concept as the farm stand. In the ordinance, it is very clear that a roadside stand is defined as a farm stand. Noonan mentioned Gallagher's on M-72 which is a farm market and added that this is a very different situation than a roadside stand.

Schaeffer stated that if one looks at the physical characteristics of a farm market and then looks at the GAAMP, the PC could come up with a definition that is not too restrictive since this changes each year. The PC members could determine four to five things that they believe defines a farm market and put this in the zoning ordinance. Schaeffer believes that the farm stand is a looser definition. He mentioned square footage and setbacks as part of the possible definition that could be in the zoning ordinance.

In terms of defining what is a farm market, Cypher stated that you can go down to 50% of products that are grown on the farm where the market is located, but the other 50% of products such as crafts, etc. can be brought in from elsewhere. The Kasson Township Zoning Ordinance does not mention a "farm stand"; it only refers to a "stand for sale of agricultural products provided that no more than one stand is allowed for each 600 lineal feet of growth frontage, adequate parking and maneuvering areas are provided to ensure safe vehicle ingress and egress, etc. Because of item #2 in the ordinance, Cypher stated that he has always had the Road Commission look things over so that there is a clear line of sight for driveway access points.

Cypher believes that the third item is what really separates farm markets from the farm stand—"products sold at any stand are mainly grown or produced on the premises"—versus a full-blown retail operation. Schaeffer stated that he would like to see language that if someone wanted to do a Gallagher's type market here, there would be some language to prevent this. Cypher stated that he is not sure that the Township can prevent this as long as GAAMPs are followed. Schaeffer stated that the language would need to be worded carefully and should include something that defines the characteristic of a commercial operation. Cypher stated that this is why MDARD has come up with the GAAMPs and he reiterated that this document varies from year to year based on comments from around the state pertaining to circumstances that arise.

Cypher stated that MDARD provides one definition for farm markets and roadside stands. He confirmed with Schaeffer that he would like to have this separated a little more for Kasson Township. Cypher looked at other language in the ordinance, too, and added that if someone wanted to open a farm market similar to Gallagher's, the applicant would be required to come before the Planning Commission. This would also require a Public Notice and a Public Hearing.

Schaeffer would like to see a concise definition to make sure that the Township is not leaving this open to what could become a commercial orientation. Chairman Roush asked if the PC would like to try to come up with the appropriate language tonight or should a special meeting be held. Noonan stated that this will take some work as many things need to be considered. He mentioned that, if things are not produced on the farm, this could become challenging for the zoning administrator to control. Noonan feels that the PC will be opening a can of worms if they try to separate a farm market from a farm stand and added that there would be many things to consider. Lanham asked Cypher if a farm market would require a special use permit; Cypher replied, "Yes". Schaeffer suggested that the PC make the definition correct so that it does not have to be revisited in a couple of years. Carter stated that with a farm stand, people cannot enter into the building; however, they can do so with a farm market.

Discussion ensued regarding a couple of farm markets in the Township where people can walk into the building. Cypher stated that if the Township tries to do something that is overly restrictive, we will be called out on this. Lanham inquired if the PC can specify square footage in the definition; Cypher replied, "Yes". Noonan stated that he believes square footage is the best criterion to start with. He also inquired if a bathroom is required. Cypher stated that this is up to the County. Discussion ensued regarding Gallagher's and Cypher stated that this is similar to an open-air market. This is a farm market but there is an indoor component, too.

**SCHAEFFER MOVED TO TABLE THE DISCUSSION OF THIS ITEM FOR NOW AND PROPOSED THAT A WORK SESSION IS SCHEDULED WITHIN 90 DAYS TO ALLOW THE PC TO OFFER SUGGESTIONS FOR DEFINITIONS. AFTER THAT, A PUBLIC HEARING WOULD BE SCHEDULED AS THIS WOULD ULTIMATELY BECOME AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE. LANHAM SECONDED. ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR, MOTION CARRIED.**

Cypher stated that he would contact Mike Wozniak from MDARD to see how he would recommend that the Township proceed. This is to ensure that we are not in violation of MDARD's rules.

## IX. Public Comment

Mr. Fran Seymour resides in Glen Arbor, Michigan. He stated that the motion that was passed this evening, in part, states that the ordinance that their application was filed under is illegal. He is curious as to how many special use permits have been issued in the past under this ordinance. He also stated that he wanted to address common sense. The parties on both sides of this issue wish to preserve the land. Mr. Schettek would like to preserve the open space but also wishes to use it for events for about two percent of the total number of days in a year. Mr. Seymour stated that, as a result of these proceedings, the neighbors, and their attorney, it appears that this matter will be headed to the Zoning Board of Appeals. The lack of common sense here is that both sides essentially want the same thing and that is to preserve the space. Compromising for a few days each year in order to hold a family-friendly event would seem like a good idea. If not allowed to use the land for the purpose he purchased it for, the owner may now have to find other uses for this land. Mr. Seymour stated that there are other approved uses that do not require a special use permit, including single-family or multi-family housing developments, agricultural livestock operations, etc. In the future, it may also be allowed to be a gravel operation pending legislation that is currently being considered. It seems that a compromise should be in order. The current owner wants to keep it open as it exists now; the neighbors worry about how the community is changing and want to preserve the land around their homes. Both of these things could be accomplished by allowing for these events which are limited by a special use permit. This involves two percent of the days in a year versus 100% of the days in a year for an alternate use. Instead, we are at the point of legal arguments. Mr. Seymour stated that the applicants may not be successful in their pursuit but a special use permit for alternative events may be a lot worse. On a personal note, Mr. Seymour thanked the PC for being very fair to them. He also noted that Tim Cypher has answered many questions and has conducted a lot of research.

Mr. Tim Dowd lives at 1127 S. Hill Road in Solon Township on the town line. He thanked the Commissioners for their handling of this very difficult situation and the length of the process. As the PC heard from Mr. Seymour, the interpretation of the use of the land is quite different. This is a residential area and Mr. Dowd does not believe that anyone would be averse to having housing in this area versus an Enduro motorcycle racetrack. He applauded the Township's prudent use of legal counsel and their insight to listen to counsel, as well as the patience with community members, including Mr. Dowd himself in seeing this to completion. Mr. Dowd concluded by stating that an Enduro motorcycle racetrack is not a good fit for the residential neighborhood.

Mr. Dan Kaupas resides at 12777 S. Kitlinger Road in Empire. He is a realtor and stated that as he read through some of the application and the zoning ordinance, he believes this does fit with the ordinance on the recreational side of things to be used as an Enduro track. He stated that this seems to have come to splitting hairs here. As a realtor, he asked how he should navigate these waters if something like this can be changed based on the opinion of others. He added that this seems to have been discussed thoroughly but this event was only going to take place for about six days each year (two events at three days each), as opposed to a pig farm which would be present for 365 days of the year. As a realtor trying to sell property, this decision presents challenges and he does not believe that anything was trying to be done that is inappropriate. He reiterated that he is curious as to how to handle this in his line of work.

Ms. Mary Shimek owns the Bohemian Lavender Farm. She stated that in the last two years at the lavender farm, they have had to jump through a few hoops regarding the farm market/farm stand issue as there were some complaints. She thanked Tim Cypher for checking out their farm and giving them the okay on certain things. She was interested to hear the discussion this evening regarding farm stands versus farm markets. She appreciates the support from the community regarding their farm stand, even though this may get into the gray area. She hopes that things remain the same as they are now.

## X. New Business

### A. Review of Section 10.5 Language

Section 10.5: Failure to Obtain Land Use Permit. The permit fee shall be doubled upon failure to obtain a permit from the Zoning Administrator before beginning construction.

Lanham stated that as a previous Zoning Administrator for the Township, he noticed a difference in how he had to write land use permits versus how these are handled by Cypher. Lanham and Cypher discussed this matter and Cypher stated that he likes to have the choice of either doubling the permit or giving someone a warning. Based on how the zoning ordinance currently reads, with the word "shall", this means that Cypher does not have a choice and he is required to double the permit. Lanham requested that the PC have a discussion on this matter and asked if the ordinance language should be changed to allow Cypher to do so.



Schaeffer asked Cypher how often he finds that he needs to issue a double fine. Cypher replied that this was the second application that came in after the fact. The first one was approximately five years ago after Cypher started with the Township. He gave the individuals a warning based on the facts that were presented. Cypher stated that he does not have an issue either way. Once Lanham reached out to Cypher, he contacted the individual who then paid a double fee based on Cypher's request. Cypher added that, personally, he feels that he should be discretionary based on circumstances. He stated that if the PC does make a word change, we should also do some housekeeping with the ordinance so that a Public Hearing is not held simply to address one word and one issue. He believes that there are some other things that could be addressed in the ordinance.

Schaeffer wanted to confirm the cost of the fee and Cypher stated that it is \$75.00 so double would be \$150.00. Cypher reiterated that he reached out to the property owner and requested that he pay the additional \$75.00; there was no pushback from the individual.

Noonan stated that this should be left up to the Zoning Administrator. The PC members agreed that the term in the ordinance should be changed to "may" from "shall". Cypher stated that we would put this on the laundry list of things in the ordinance to address. It was proposed that this be worked on at the same time that the PC will address language pertaining to farm markets. Lanham stated that this should be addressed fairly quickly in case someone in the Township makes a mistake; this would be best for both the Township and the resident.

Schaeffer stated that it is the sense of the Commission that this should be at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator.

**SCHAEFFER MOVED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION WORK ON THE LANGUAGE FURTHER AT THE NEXT MEETING WHERE THEY ARE DISCUSSING THE ZONING ORDINANCE; NOONAN SECONDED. ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR, MOTION CARRIED.**

- XI. Public Comment - None
- XII. Comments from the Commissioners

Chairman Roush asked the PC if they would like to have a Special Meeting to go over what was discussed this evening. Alternatively, the PC could come up with items Cypher believes should be addressed in the zoning ordinance and the work session could be held afterwards.

Schaeffer stated that he would prefer to have time to address the small things so that another Special Meeting is not required. He believes that the PC members most likely have things in their notes that can be discussed.

XIII. Next Meeting - Monday, August 21, 2023 at 7:00 p.m.

XIV. Adjournment

Chairman Roush asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting. **CARTER MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; NOONAN SECONDED. ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR, MOTION CARRIED.**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Allison Hubley-Patterson  
Recording Secretary

**ATTACHMENT “A” – Agenda**  
**KASSON TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION**  
**REGULAR MEETING**

**Monday, July 17, 2023; 7:00 p.m.**

**Kasson Township Hall, 10988 S. Newman Road, Maple City, MI 49664**

**AGENDA**

1. Call Meeting to Order / Pledge of Allegiance
2. Roll Call of Commissioners and Staff / Recognition of Visitors
  - A. Commissioners present (roll call): Carter, Lanham, Noonan, Roush, Schaeffer
  - B. Staff: Cypher, Hubley-Patterson
  - C. Visitors present
3. Consideration of Agenda: Additions or Deletions
4. Declaration of Conflicts of Interest
5. Approval of Minutes – June 19, 2023
6. Correspondence Received
7. Area Reports
  - A. Chairperson – Commissioner Roush
  - B. Secretary – Commissioner Schaeffer
  - C. Township Board – Commissioner Carter
  - D. Zoning Board of Appeals – Commissioner Noonan
  - E. Zoning Administrator’s Report – ZA Cypher
8. Old Business

**\*Vice Chairman Lanham will assume the role of Chair for the discussion of Item A under “Old Business”.**

- A. Attorney’s recommendation for motion regarding Enduro Motorcycle Race (see sample motion language attached)

**\*Chairman Roush will return to the role of Chair prior to Item B being discussed.**

- B. GAAMPs – Farm Market definition

9. Public Comment

10. New Business

- A. Review of Section 10.5 Language  
**Section 10.5: Failure to Obtain Land Use Permit**  
**The permit fee shall be doubled upon failure to obtain a permit from the Zoning Administrator before beginning construction.**

- 11. Public Comment
- 12. Comments from the Commissioners
- 13. Next Meeting: Monday, August 21, 2023; Kasson Township Hall
- 14. Adjournment

**MOTION TO DISCONTINUE CONSIDERATION OF SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION FOR MICHIGAN SPRINT ENDURO MOTOR CYCLE EVENT UNDER SECTION 7.15 OF THE KASSON TOWNSHIP ZONING ORDINANCE**

**TOWNSHIP OF KASSON  
LEELANAU COUNTY, MICHIGAN**

At a regular meeting of the Kasson Township Planning Commission held in said Township on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of July 2023 there were:

Present: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Absent: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

The following motion was offered by \_\_\_\_\_ and seconded by \_\_\_\_\_:

## BACKGROUND

1. An Application was filed on February 18, 2023 for a Special Use Permit by James Schettek, Bill Kasben and Fran Seymour to conduct a motorcycle race event identified as the Michigan Sprint Enduro Event ("Motorcycle Event") on 304 acres of combined parcels within the Township's Forested zoning district.
2. Because the Motorcycle Event was not identified as either an available permitted use or special land use within under Section 4.7 of the Zoning Ordinance ("ZO") for the Forested district, the application proceeded under ZO Section 7.15 which allows consideration as special land uses of:  

Land and structural uses that are not specified in any other section of this Ordinance, but, upon being applied for under the provisions of Chapter 7, may be considered by the Planning Commission as long as they meet all the conditions and requirements of this Chapter and the spirit and intent of the Ordinance.
3. The Motorcycle Event application was thereafter processed under the special land use procedures of ZO Chapter 7 including the holding of a public hearing and preliminary work to develop proposed findings under the special use approval standards of ZO Chapter 7.
4. During consideration of the Motorcycle Event as a special land use, however, the Township's legal counsel, after consultation with the Michigan Townships Association's legal counsel, and others, advised Township Zoning Administrator Tim Cypher, in a letter dated May 5, 2023, that ZO Section 7.15 was determined to be unlawful in accordance with the Michigan Court of Appeals' decision in *Whitman v. Galien Township*, 288 Mich App 672 (2010).
5. As a consequence, if the Motorcycle Event application is approved as a special land use under ZO Section 7.15, it would be unlawful and subject to immediate legal challenge.

## MOTION

Therefore, a motion is made that the Planning Commission immediately terminate any further action, including, but not limited to, consideration of findings of fact, on the Motorcycle Event application, as a special land use within the Forested zoning district.

Adopted this 17<sup>th</sup> day of July 2023.

YEAS: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAYS: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a Motion adopted by the Planning Commission of the Township of Kasson, County of Leelanau and State of Michigan, at a meeting held on July 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023, the original of which is on file in my office and available to the public. Public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in compliance with the Open Meetings Act, Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of Michigan 1976, including in the case of a special or rescheduled meeting, notice by posting at least eighteen (18) hours prior to the time set for said meeting.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Planning Commission Secretary

## ATTACHMENT “B” – Zoning Administrator’s June 2023 Report

Kasson Township  
Zoning Administrator's  
JUNE 2023 REPORT

7/8/2023

To: Kasson Township Board & Planning Commission

From: TIMOTHY A. CAPNER

<b>Land Use Permits Issued:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>YEAR TO DATE 25</b>
Signs / Home Occupation	0	
Single Family Residences (SFR)	3	
Additions to SFR	1	
Garages	0	
Decks & Porches / MISC.	1	
Accessory Buildings	1	
Commercial Construction	0	
Stairs / Landings / Fences	0	
Agriculture construction	2	
Demolitions / Relocating	0	
Boat houses	0	
Solar Panels	1	
Renewal of / Change of use	0	
Z.B.A. proceedings	0	1 INQUIRY
Special Land Use Permits	1 <b>ENDURO</b> <b>TABLED</b>	1 INQUIRY
Land Divisions	0	1 INQUIRY
Property Line Adjustments	0	1 INQUIRY
Private Roads / Driveways	0	0 INQUIRY
Zoning / Site Plan Reviews	0	0 INQUIRY
Construction / Site Inspections	11	
Violations/Investigations	0 <b>**VIOLATIONS**</b>	
	1 INVESTIGATIONS	
	*JUNK @ RESIDENTIAL LOCATION	
	SHIPPING CONTAINERS BEING PERMITTED	

I also supplied information via 41 phone calls, 29 via internet to Township residents & others.

I also attended the PC and Township Board meetings.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Phone 231-360-2557

[tim@albopermits.com](mailto:tim@albopermits.com)

## ATTACHMENT "C"

## Zoning Administrator's Monthly Permit Summary (June 2023)

## KASSON TOWNSHIP ZA'S MONTHLY PERMIT SUMMARY

PERIOD: JUNE 2023

DATE	PERMIT #	NAME	USE	RECEIPT	CK.#	AMOUNT
6/24/2023	LUP 23-11	ADDED NEW WILLIAMS	LAND USE	202311	12980	\$ 75.00
007-000-007-00	8400 S. DUNNS FARM RD.	NEW DWELLING ATT.GARAGE TWO DECKS			4,886 S.F.	
6/5/2023	LUP 23-18	LIVELY	LAND USE	202318	1053	\$ 75.00
007-030-003-50	3800 W. EMPIRE HWY.	LEAN-TO ADDITION TO EXISTING AG USE BUILDING			448 S.F.	
6/11/2023	LUP 23-19	EFTAXIDIS	LAND USE	202319	2575	\$ 75.00
007-005-004-10	1901 W. BURNLEY LANE	SOLAR ARRAY			335 S.F.	
6/11/2023	LUP 23-20	CARTER	LAND USE	202320	4265	\$ 75.00
007-003-019-12	658 W. BURDICKVILLE RD.	FARM STAND - ACCESSORY BUILDING			420 S.F.	
6/20/2023	LUP 23-21	NOONAN	LAND USE	202321	1383	\$ 75.00
007-002-039-00	8777 TOWER ROAD	ACCESSORY BUILDING ADDITION - LEAN-TO			576 S.F.	
6/20/2023	LUP 23-22	JULIAN	LAND USE	202322	5988	\$ 75.00
007-023-002-35	11167 MAPLE CITY ROAD	CONCRETE SLAB			580 S.F.	
6/23/2023	LUP 23-23	BY THE SHORE LLC	LAND USE	202323	PENDING	\$ 75.00
007-550-014-00	8570 S. OLIVA DRIVE	NEW DWELLING GARAGE PORCHES PATIO			2,613 S.F.	
6/23/2023	LUP 23-24	BY THE SHORE, LLC	LAND USE	202324	PENDING	\$ 75.00
007-550-049-00	8679 S. ELIZABETH DR.	NEW DWELLING GARAGE PORCH PATIO			2,337 S.F.	
6/24/2023	LUP 23-25	LEELANAU COUNTY	LAND USE	202325	PENDING	\$ 75.00
007-004-013-00	1110 BURDICKVILLE RD.	ADDITION TO EXISTING BARN			504 S.F.	

TOTAL \$ 675.00

SIGNED:

*Timothy A. Cypher*

DATE: 7/8/2023

TIMOTHY A. CYPHER  
 KASSON TOWNSHIP ZONING ADMINISTRATOR  
 231-360-2557  
[TIM@ALLPERMITS.COM](mailto:TIM@ALLPERMITS.COM)