

Tonight, we heard once again from well-meaning citizens claiming election fraud perpetrated by talented people invading the Dominion voting machines and changing votes. If this was true, it calls into question the results of the 72 page detailed Hoppe report conducted by eight Republicans; Retired Federal judges **Thomas Griffith, Mike McConnell, Michael Luttig**, former Solicitor General **Ted Olson**, election lawyer **Ben Ginsburg**, former Senators **John Danforth** and **Gordon Smith** and Congressman Paul Ryans Chief of Staff **David Hoppe** . These high-level Republicans are not easily dismissed as RINO's.

The CATO Institute with (**staunch Republican Charles Koch – a founder**) supports the conclusions of this report, as does the conservative leaning Stanford Law School, as well as others.

Sixty-four (64) cases were filed, 20 were dismissed before a hearing, on the merits, 14 were withdrawn by Trump, before a hearing, 30 dismissed after hearing, on the merits, and **one (1) case resulted in 270 votes being thrown out**. Some of these cases were heard by Republican judges appointed by Trump. Several of Trumps attorneys have faced censure, loss of license to practice, fines and possible jail time for pursuing these fraudulent cases.

Claims of fraud and verbal attacks were made by Trump upon six (6) states, but no charges were ever filed.

Republican conducted audits were held in some states including Michigan. The Michigan Republican held Senate conducted audits twice and 250 other audits were held involving 1300 Republican, Democrat and Non-partisan clerks across the state and found no fraud. Republicans In Antrim County, with much fanfare, held an audit, again finding no fraud. Numerous recounts were made across the country, with 2020 becoming the most investigated election in our history.

We as a nation, from the beginning, progressed by witnessing events, reading, studying, and listening to knowledgeable people. We charted our future based on becoming educated, considering facts and voting in our best interests. That we have not only survived 250 years, but we became the leading nation in setting an example for others to follow towards democracy. We now find ourselves being directed in a different direction by a **single voice** of a reality TV star, known by his ego and narcissistic manner, and to his credit, a master in marketing and in love with the power of being a former president. He has single handedly convinced millions that he won the 2020 election, **WITHOUT ANY PROOF**, and to get his old job back, maintains that self-serving lie.

And if this Hoppe report isn't proof enough for his believers, consider the simple matter; if Biden was able to switch votes

in six states to himself, why didn't he switch the House votes as well and become an imperial president.

If the Democrats were able to hire experts in six states to alter the Dominion machines, is this indicative of their failure to outbid the Republicans to do likewise in the states that the Republicans won?

It is sad that to be considered a Republican today, one has to pass the litmus test by declaring they are "non-believers" in Biden's victory.

No one likes to see their team lose, but when the best you can do is prove 270 votes were improper out of the seven million difference, you have to face facts and concede, like these eight noteworthy Republicans have done, and agree that Trump lost.

Move on, organize and prove to the American people that he should be re-elected in 2024 based on his merits, not his cock-a-mammy lie of being cheated in 2020.

Maybe the American people have lived up to our reputation of becoming educated and making a choice we felt was best for this country and elected Joe Biden as President in 2020.

LOST, NOT STOLEN:

The Conservative Case that Trump Lost and Biden
Won the 2020 Presidential Election

July, 2022

Senator John Danforth
Benjamin Ginsberg
The Honorable Thomas B. Griffith
David Hoppe
The Honorable J. Michael Luttig
The Honorable Michael W. McConnell
The Honorable Theodore B. Olson
Senator Gordon H. Smith

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Executive Summary	3
Arizona.....	7
1. <i>Claims that Dominion Voting Machines Were Manipulated.</i>	10
2. <i>Claims that Ballots Were Not Properly Counted.</i>	10
3. <i>Claims that Unsolicited Mail-In Ballots Were Sent to Voters.</i>	11
4. <i>Claims that Voter-Eligibility Requirements Were Not Enforced.</i>	12
5. <i>Claims that Ballot Drop Boxes Were Not Fairly Located Throughout the State.</i>	12
6. <i>Claims that Poll Officials Failed to Carefully Monitor the Election Count.</i>	12
Summary	12
Addenda	12
Georgia.....	27
1. <i>Counting Unlawful Ballots, Including by Deceased, Non-Resident, and other Ineligible Voters.</i>	29
2. <i>Absentee-Ballot Procedures.</i>	30
3. <i>Dominion Voting Machine Fraud.</i>	31
4. <i>Improper Election Result Certification.</i>	32
5. <i>Observer Access.</i>	32
6. <i>Third Party Funds.</i>	32
Summary	32
Addendum	33
Michigan	36
1. <i>Challenger Access.</i>	40
2. <i>Dominion Voting Machines.</i>	41
3. <i>Absentee Ballot Drop Box Video Surveillance.</i>	42
4. <i>Alleged TCF Center Fraud.</i>	42
5. <i>AVCB Balancing, Ineligible Voters, Unsolicited Ballots, and Third-Party Funds.</i>	43
Summary	43
Addendum	44
Nevada	47
1. <i>Voter Fraud.</i>	48
2. <i>Interference With Election Observers.</i>	48
3. <i>Fraud by Agilis Machines.</i>	49

4. <i>AB4 and Unlawful Absentee-Voting Procedures</i>	49
5. <i>Voter Drives and Bribery</i>	49
Summary	50
Addendum	50
Pennsylvania	53
1. <i>Extending Election Deadlines</i>	54
2. <i>Inadequate Observation of Ballot Processing</i>	55
3. <i>Counting Deficient—But Not fraudulent—Absentee Ballots</i>	56
4. <i>Pre-Election Challenges to Changed Voting Procedures</i>	58
5. <i>Voter Fraud</i>	58
Summary	58
Addendum	58
Wisconsin.....	64
1. <i>Absentee-Voting Procedures</i>	65
Summary	67
Addendum	67

This lawsuit represents a historic and profound abuse of the judicial process. It is one thing to take on the charge of vindicating rights associated with an allegedly fraudulent election. It is another to take on the charge of deceiving a federal court and the American people into believing that rights were infringed, without regard to whether any laws or rights were in fact violated. This is what happened here. . . .

The attorneys who filed the instant lawsuit abused the well-established rules applicable to the litigation process by proffering claims not backed by law; proffering claims not backed by evidence (but instead, speculation, conjecture, and unwarranted suspicion); proffering factual allegations and claims without engaging in the required prefiling inquiry; and dragging out these proceedings even after they acknowledged that it was too late to attain the relief sought.

*And this case was never about fraud—it was about undermining the People’s faith in our democracy and debasing the judicial process to do so.*¹⁶⁰

The Michigan Supreme Court dismissed a petition with similar challenges.¹⁶¹

2. *Dominion Voting Machines.*

As discussed above, Trump-aligned Plaintiffs alleged fraud with Dominion voting machines and misconduct by Michigan election officials. They alleged that Dominion voting machines were tampered with, resulting in unreliable ballot counts.¹⁶² They alleged that Biden received 2,015 “phantom votes” in Antrim County as a result of defective (or tampered-with) Dominion machines.¹⁶³ Each court that examined these fraud allegations found them meritless.

A federal court soundly rejected claims that election machines and software in Wayne County flipped Trump votes to Biden.¹⁶⁴ The plaintiffs relied on a report by Russell James Ramsland claiming that Dominion voting machines injected 289,866 illegal votes in Michigan.¹⁶⁵ The federal court found that these allegations amounted to nothing more than “an amalgamation of

¹⁶⁰ *King*, 556 F. Supp. 3d 680, 688–89 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 25, 2021) (emphasis in original).

¹⁶¹ *Johnson v. Benson*, 506 Mich. 975 (2020). Three justices dissented.

¹⁶² See Compl., *Bailey v. Antrim Co.*, No. 2020-9238 CZ (Mich. Cir. Ct. Nov. 23, 2020); Compl., *Bally v. Whitmer*, No. 1:20-cv-1088 (W.D. Mich. Nov. 11, 2020) (alleging that same untested Dominion machines used in Wayne County); Compl. ¶ 5, *King v. Whitmer*, No. 2:20-cv-13134 (E.D. Mich. Nov. 25, 2020) (alleging that Dominion was “founded by foreign oligarchs and dictators to ensure computerized ballot-stuffing and vote manipulation to whatever level was needed to make certain Venezuelan dictator Hugo Chavez never lost another election”).

¹⁶³ Compl. ¶ 28, *Bailey v. Antrim Co.*, No. 2020-9238 CZ (Mich. Cir. Ct. Nov. 23, 2020).

¹⁶⁴ *King*, 505 F. Supp. 3d at 738.

¹⁶⁵ See Russell Ramsland, *Antrim Michigan Forensics Report*, ALLIED SEC. OPERATIONS GRP. § B.2 (Dec. 13, 2020), <https://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/20423772/antrim-county-forensics-report.pdf>; see also Compl. ¶ 11, *King*, 505 F. Supp. 3d 720.

theories, conjecture, and speculation that such alterations were *possible*.”¹⁶⁶ That court later sanctioned the plaintiffs’ attorneys for failing to “inquire into Ramsland’s outlandish and easily debunked numbers.”¹⁶⁷ “Even the most basic internet inquiry would have alerted Plaintiffs’ counsel to the wildly inaccurate assertions in Ramsland’s affidavit.”¹⁶⁸ For example, Ramsland represented data as being from Michigan when it was actually from Minnesota.¹⁶⁹

As noted above, a state court permitted a forensic review of the Dominion voting machines in Antrim County.¹⁷⁰ After this review failed to uncover any fraud, the case was dismissed.¹⁷¹

3. *Absentee Ballot Drop Box Video Surveillance.*

Challengers alleged that ballots were deposited in remote, unattended absentee-ballot drop boxes without meaningful opportunity to observe or challenge the ballots, in violation of state law.¹⁷² A state court found that the challengers provided no factual basis to support their allegations.¹⁷³ Responsible experts in election procedure have observed that unattended drop boxes are inconsistent with best practices and are insufficiently protected against potential fraud. But that is not the same as saying that in any particular election, there actually *was* fraud. Persons who claim that a democratic election has been tainted by fraud bear a burden, both in court and in public discourse, to rely on provable facts and actual evidence. It is poisonous to our system for people on either side of the aisle to lodge allegations of voting fraud without being able to back them up.

4. *Alleged TCF Center Fraud.*

There were numerous claims of mistake, irregularity, and fraud at the Detroit-area voting centers, and particularly the TCF Center.¹⁷⁴ Trump-aligned plaintiffs claimed that election officials processed ballots from voters whose names failed to appear on qualified-voter-file lists; instructed election workers not to verify signatures on absentee ballots, to backdate absentee ballots, and to process such ballots regardless of their validity; processed “vote dumps,” or batches of tens of thousands of unsecured and unsealed ballots, some brought into the TCF Center by suitcases and coolers, and attributed the ballots only to Democratic candidates;

¹⁶⁶ King v. Whitmer, 505 F. Supp. 3d 720, 738 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 7, 2020).

¹⁶⁷ King v. Whitmer, 556 F. Supp. 3d 680, 723 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 25, 2021) (boldface deleted).

¹⁶⁸ *Id.* at 724.

¹⁶⁹ *Id.*

¹⁷⁰ Bailey v. Antrim Co., No. 2020-9238 CZ (Mich. Cir. Ct. May 18, 2021).

¹⁷¹ Clara Hendrickson, *Judge Dismisses Antrim County Election Fraud Lawsuit*, DETROIT FREE PRESS (May 18, 2021), <https://www.freep.com/story/news/politics/2021/05/18/michigan-judge-antrim-county-election-lawsuit/4980333001/>.

¹⁷² Compl., Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Benson, No. 20-000225-MZ, 2020 WL 6482579 (Mich. Ct. Cl. Nov. 4, 2020).

¹⁷³ Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Benson, No. 20-000225-MZ, 2020 WL 6594903 (Mich. Ct. Cl. Nov. 6, 2020).

¹⁷⁴ Compl., Johnson v. Benson, No. 1:20-cv-01098 (W.D. Mich. Nov. 16, 2020); Compl., Costantino v. City of Detroit, No. 20-014780-AW (Mich. Cir. Ct. Nov. 9, 2020); Compl., Bally v. Whitmer, No. 1:20-cv-1088 (W.D. Mich. Nov. 11, 2020); Compl., Donald J. Trump Campaign v. Benson, 2020 WL 8573864 (W.D. Mich. Nov. 11, 2020); Compl., King v. Whitmer, No. 2:20-cv-13134 (E.D. Mich. Nov. 25, 2020); Compl., Johnson v. Benson, No. 162286 (Mich. Nov. 26, 2020); Compl., Stoddard v. City Election Commission, No. 20-014601-cz (Mich. Cir. Ct. Nov. 4, 2020).