

LEELANAU COUNTY SOLID WASTE COUNCIL

Date: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 at 1:00 pm

Location: Leelanau County Government Center

(Please silence any unnecessary cellular/electronic devices)

*(Proceedings of the meeting are being recorded and are not the official record of the meeting;
the formally approved/accepted written copy of the minutes will be the official record of the meeting.)*

DRAFT AGENDA

- CALL TO ORDER**
- ROLL CALL**
- PUBLIC COMMENT**
- STAFF COMMENTS**
Updates: Cameras, Popp Rd. Recycling site, collection events, recycling grant
P.A. 69 – Winter Tax Bill Recycling Fee amount
- CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA**
- CONFLICT OF INTEREST**
- CONSIDERATION OF JULY 6, 2021 MINUTES** *pgs2-6*
- UNFINISHED BUSINESS**
- NEW BUSINESS**
 - A. Suttons Bay School site – 90 day termination / Proposal for new location *pgs7-8*
 - B. Cedar site – Expiration of Host Site Agreement 12/31/2021
 - C. 2% requests: Mattress Recycling, Tire Recycling
 - D. Coordination of a Scrap Vehicle Recycling Event
 - E. Michigan Green Schools *pgs9-10*
 - F. Membership (Numbers)
- COMMITTEE REPORTS**
- COMMUNICATIONS / CORRESPONDENCE**
 - A. Copy of Revenue & Expense Sheet
- PUBLIC COMMENTS**
- STAFF COMMENTS**
- MEMBER / CHAIRPERSON'S COMMENTS**
- ADJOURNMENT**

SWC Members

Bill Perkins-Chairman
Marcia Harris-Vice Chair
Jim Palmer-Chair Pro-Tem
Lois Bahle
Mark Bevelhymmer
Tim Bolin
Pat Deering
John Fletcher
Andrew Gale
Melinda Lautner
Tom MacDonald
Carrie Sharp
2 Open Positions



A REGULAR MEETING OF THE LEELANAU COUNTY SOLID WASTE COUNCIL WAS HELD ON TUESDAY, JULY 6, 2021 AT THE LEELANAU COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER.

Proceedings of the meeting were recorded and are not the official record of the meeting. The formally approved written copy of the minutes will be the official record of the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER: Meeting called to order at 1:00 p.m. by Chairman Perkins. The meeting was held at the Leelanau County Government Center, 8527 E. Government Center Dr., Suttons Bay MI.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: None
(Via Zoom)

Members Present: B. Perkins, T. Bolin, J. Fletcher, A. Gale, J. Palmer, M. Bevelhymmer, C. (At Government Center) Sharp, M. Lautner, L. Bahle, D. McCulloch, T. MacDonald, P. Deering

Members Absent: M. Harris
(prior notice)

Members Absent: None

Staff: T. Galla, Director

PUBLIC COMMENT

Colleen Christensen, a resident of Suttons Bay Village, and a representative on the Village Council, stated she was present to request that the SWC start a discussion on the relocation of the Suttons Bay recycling site from the Suttons Bay School to an industrial area or away from neighborhoods. She wants the SWC to make it one of their goals for 2022. Christensen continued, saying this request was based on continual opposition from residents in neighborhoods adjacent to the school. She doesn't live in the neighborhood, but she knows there is plenty of opposition there regarding the noise from the site. Mike Long, who is also a Council member, has talked to some of the SWC members which is probably on record, so she won't go in to all that. Christensen asked if members needed letters from the village Council to begin this discussion, or letters from residents in those neighborhoods. Also, who on the SWC should they follow up with.

Gale asked if it was the noise from people dropping off recycling or when the bins are dumped? Christensen said it was pretty much when the bins were being dumped, and the litter associated with the site. There are various issues and she can't represent all of them right now. Gale questioned if they had an industrial location in mind. Christensen said they don't have a recommendation, but possibly one of their Council representatives could discuss it with SWC members and see how it could be resolved. Perkins stated that they need a new site and Christensen represents the village. The village has an industrial site, the sewage plant. Perkins said the village needs to figure out how that site could be adapted as a recycling site. Christensen questioned who identifies potential sites. Lautner made a point of order and stated this is public comment, we don't generally interact. This is your chance to give your comments to the board. She suggests they add this to the agenda as a "New Business Item" if they want to further the discussion today. Christensen said she expected it to be "public comment" only today, and there be a follow-up discussion at some point.

STAFF COMMENTS

Galla informed members that Kathy Weijaczka resigned from the SWC last week and the administrator's office will advertise for a replacement. Galla reported they have been doing really well implementing the recycling grant, and she thanked everyone who volunteered. The next step will be sending out a card to each household, which she is working on. The survey pointed to bagged recycling being the biggest issue, but Galla feels it is the

“junk” being left outside the bins, so the card will address that issue instead. The next Household Hazardous Waste & Electronics Collection will be held on Monday, September 13 in Peshawbestown, starting at noon. This will be the first time a collection is held on a weekday. Galla said staff always works the weekend collections which can be difficult with busy schedules and other commitments. She reported that the tire collection went well even though they had about 150 tires scheduled that did not get dropped off. She is not sure if there will be another collection this year. Galla said she also worked with ERG Environmental Services and did a mobile tire event for three properties in the county with large piles of tires. The property owners paid \$1.00/tire and supplied labor to get the tires off their property and onto the semis. They did a farm in Elmwood township on a Friday night and then a farm in Suttons Bay on Saturday morning along with another property north of Suttons Bay. They were able to fill two semi-trailers and she has gotten more calls from property owners with hundreds of tires who want to participate. Galla said tribal funds were used to help with the costs and one of the properties that was served was a tribal member. The state allows grants for cleanup of tires on properties; however, the tires have to be deposited on a site before a certain year in order for grant funds to be used. If not, the state puts a lien on the property for the cost of the cleanup, plus any increase in value that there is to the property. Most people don’t want to put a lien on their property, which is why they can’t go through the state for help. Galla continued, saying the Popp Rd. recycling site is moving along slowly. It is on the Leland Township Planning Commission agenda for final approval. The next step is to bid out the work for the site. The engineering work cost the county around \$12,000.00, not counting staff time.

Galla mentioned the work that has been done for almost a year to try and find cameras for the recycling sites. Covid caused issues with ordering equipment, but one trail camera and solar powered battery pack was purchased and set up at the Cedar site. Unfortunately, the solar battery became an issue and the camera is not working properly. Galla continued, saying the SWC budget is primarily spent on recycling sites, and she felt a better job needs to be done to monitor and take care of the issues at the sites. Her office is continually receiving phone calls and emails regarding the stuff being dumped and staff does not have a clear/direct answer for people. It is frustrating and it will eventually end up being complaints going to the county board. Galla said she decided to review companies in the area that do surveillance and security and she contacted one company in Traverse City and they met at the Elmwood site along with the township Supervisor. Elmwood is the busiest site and the test site because they have a building and electricity for camera installation. The township no longer has WIFI on site and it would cost about \$100 a month to obtain that and it is not in our budget and she doesn’t know if the county would agree to pay that at each site. Instead, the cameras can be installed in Elmwood and the Supervisor said if the video needs to be checked for a reported problem, the township will check it. Galla said if trail cameras were used, there is staff time to consider for reviewing the cameras.

CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA

Perkins said they will be removing item “C” under “New Business”, because Harris was not present to discuss.

Deering mentioned that Solon Township was considering cancelling their recycling site agreement and he showed members a picture of hazardous waste left at the site. Galla said she had received the photos from numerous individuals and figured someone probably missed their hazardous waste appointment on Saturday and left their stuff there. Perkins stated it looked like someone cleaned out their garage and dumped everything there. Galla said her office can only follow-up with a letter if they can get an address off of the items left. Without an address and with no cameras, they can’t follow up.

***It was moved by Lautner, seconded by McCulloch, to approve the agenda as modified.
Motion carried 12-0.***

CONFLICT OF INTEREST – None

CONSIDERATION OF MARCH 2, 2021 MINUTES

It was moved by McCulloch, seconded by Bolin, to accept the minutes as presented. Motion carried 12-0.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS – None

NEW BUSINESS

Conditions/Issues at sites

Galla mentioned the issues again, saying her office is receiving phone calls and emails regarding the junk being left at the recycling sites, and her staff doesn't have an answer for what to do. Better care of the sites is needed with cameras, surveillance, etc. The Cedar recycling contract expires at the end of this year and she doesn't think they will renew. There are issues at the Suttons Bay site and staff also noted junk left at the Northport site staff observed during surveys. The recycling sites either need to have supervision, more pick-up/clean-up, and/or cameras.

Discussion ensued on which sites have internet connection.

Sharp commented that the sites seem to be worse in the summer.

Lautner stated the landfill is open five days a week, people can take their junk there. People think that someone is going to reuse it, so they leave it to be picked up by someone else. Every time she goes by the Cedar recycling site there is a pile of junk. It's not going well with the Cedar Board, and they are tired of it. If we had cameras working and a few people got "stung" because they left junk there, people would feel better and the board would feel better too.

Palmer questioned how people get fined. He works at Glen's Landfill, and people will dump things at his gate because they are lazy. Gas stations can also have stuff left at their dumpsters in the morning. It's the mentality. Palmer suggested hiring someone to clean up the sites while they are trying to figure things out. Gale said they had the same problem at Bay Area Recycling for Charities (BARC), they put up real cameras and dummy cameras, and the problem virtually went away. They also posted "\$500 fine" signs.

Discussion continued on sites, commercial recycling and volumes.

Fletcher mentioned builders using the Elmwood site. Bahle discussed charging local commercial operations the recycling fee so they could use the sites. Galla questioned if the current arrangement for recycling was working or if there was another solution. Perkins suggested starting with cameras at the Cedar site. Galla stated all they could do there without WIFI was put trail cameras up.

Deering stated he doesn't think Cedar will want the site there long term because of what they are trying to do with the park. Palmer said if people have garbage pickup, they can always add recycling. People forget that when we started this, it was supposed to be a joint effort with the townships, with them policing their own sites. You can't get recycling at your house for \$29.00. If people want to recycle, then these are the options. If this stuff was dumped in front of the county building, people would be ticketed for littering. Lautner said Cedar township just spent \$750 on the turnaround because of all the traffic, but long term they will probably lose that site.

Lautner continued, mentioning the road commission in Maple City as a possible site. Perkins mentioned the sewage site in Suttons Bay Village as a serious site to look at. Galla questioned if the SWC wanted more pickup and surveillance at the sites? Bevelhymer said it costs money, but other counties like Otsego, Antrim and Charlevoix, have a person to clean up all sites. No matter what you do, before you come back again, someone is going to be dropping off things. In other counties, they have someone picking it up and hauling it away. Bevelhymer concluded by saying Leelanau County has issues because there are no cameras or signs, but who reads the signs?

Discussion continued on having the sites cleaned up, signs and surveillance.

Bahle posed the following question: What percentage of our waste do we recycle in Leelanau County? If we are aiming to get to 45%, how do we get to that if we are so overloaded in Elmwood and Suttons Bay right now that we need more sites and bins. She suggested classifying each site, Elmwood and Suttons Bay being class "A" because they are the busiest. Putting up fences at those sites, limiting the hours and staffing them would cut down on the junk. People know they are dumping stuff illegally, that is why they do it in the dark of night. Commercial businesses are also using the sites instead of paying for it. Bahle concluded by saying that sending a postcard may not be the best way to reach people. Most people she talked to at the sites didn't even remember receiving one in the mail. She also felt a tour of GFL Environmental Inc. f/k/a American Waste, would be very educational.

Fletcher mentioned again the amount of cardboard at the Elmwood site. He heard complaints that the bins were too full, right in the morning. Businesses in the county and from Traverse City use the sites. They admit they come there so they don't have to rent a bin. They felt it was okay. Galla said the volumes at the Elmwood and Suttons Bay sites lead her to believe that contractors are using the sites. Perkins wanted 'no contractor use' on the signs and to increase the recycling fee to cover costs of cameras and cleanup. Bevelhymer said his drivers like to empty the sites early in the morning for safety reasons. The Suttons Bay site is emptied five days a week. They will be changing their schedule now due to complaints. On three of those days, they will be emptied at 10:00 a.m., and noon on the other days. They are emptying Elmwood seven days a week right now.

It was moved by Palmer, seconded by Sharp, to allow Galla to pursue the signs, cameras and work with GFL f/k/a American Waste, within their contract, to do additional cleanup at the sites. Motion Carried 12-0.

Discussion continued.

Bevelhymer mentioned problems with the site in Leland. His trucks can't pick up there without getting stuck in the sand. It cost \$500.00 for a tow truck. Also, they had issues with emptying the bins because of all the cars parked in the way. Perkins stated that if that is the case, then those bins have to be moved. A press release in the *Enterprise* will be done. Galla will contact Bevelhymer after looking at the agreement.

Bahle said they need a site on this campus because it would be secure. If we are going to increase the volumes, we need more sites. McCulloch stated they tried for years to get the site here at the Government Center, but the commissioners are not going to allow that to happen.

2022 Proposed Budget, Proposal for Appointment Scheduler/Online Recycling Information

Galla reviewed the budget and explained the online scheduler is for Leelanau County residents to use when scheduling their HHW appointment. Galla said her office receives around 600-700 calls per collection. This online scheduler cost around \$5,000.00 a year and will also allow staff to post information about recycling. Bahle suggested adding in funds for cameras.

Michigan Green Schools

No discussion held.

2021 Goals (Implementation)

Galla commented on the first goal of completing the grant and that it was interesting to find out that many people surveyed did not want curbside recycling. They felt the sites were very convenient and they didn't want more stuff at the end of their driveway.

Perkins mentioned rubber and asphalt, saying that Michigan Technological University, in Houghton, has done a

lot of research and they have paved roads up there. He has proposed it to Jack O'Malley, who is chair of the Transportation Committee, but nothing has happened. He also proposed it to Senator VanderWall.

Bahle said the meeting with County Commissioners, asking them to eliminate plastic bottles, didn't take place because of covid and the water fountains being shut down. The SWC supported the goal of eliminating bottled water at the Government Center, and it seems like it fits into the bylaws as part of this committee. Instead of just telling them to recycle, show them how to reduce plastics, lead by example. Bahle said she is willing to go to the County Board and make that request, speaking on behalf of SWC. Lautner stated she would not support it. How will it be policed? If someone brings in a plastic bottle, will we ask them to put it back in their car? Bahle questioned how much is spent on plastic water bottles? Fletcher said he did a survey years ago and the vast majority of bottled water was being brought in by people attending meetings, not employees. Lautner stated the commissioners don't buy that much bottled water. There is a pop machine in the basement. Are we asking employees not to buy pop? Lautner doesn't see this campus as a big problem. Perkins suggested Bahle and Fletcher talk and come up with something.

Gale mentioned that BARC started composting in Leelanau County, in 2021, with a few restaurants. Barry Krull, in Maple City, is where they are taking stuff. Deering's does a fair amount as well, mainly organics, not trash. Deering's Tree Service takes leaves and grass clippings, logs and brush go in another pile for grinding up.

COMMITTEE REPORTS – None

COMMUNICATION/CORRESPONDENCE

Copy of Revenue & Expense Sheet provided.

PUBLIC COMMENTS – None

STAFF COMMENTS – None

MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON'S COMMENTS – None

ADJOIURNMENT

Meeting adjourned by consensus at 2:30 p.m.

Executive Summary

Suttons Bay Public Schools recognizes the community need for a centrally located, easily accessible and safe Recycling Site for Leelanau County residents. The Recycling site hosted on SBPS campus has consistently grown in importance and capacity each year. The Recycling Site was used even more frequently during the Pandemic and traffic continues to grow in the busy summer months. As such, the site has provided a tremendous value to County residents that many taxpayers strongly support. In an effort to meet the needs of the community while also hosting a Recycling Site that is safe, clean, properly maintained, sustainable, and accessible for County residents SBPS is submitting the following proposal for consideration.

Needs Assessment

In order to meet the goals stated above (Recycling Site that is safe, clean, properly maintained, sustainable, and accessible for County residents), the district has identified the following needs to address -

- site requires 24/7 surveillance to mitigate (and in some case prosecute) dumping, misuse, and dangerous/unsafe activity
- district requires placement away from core instruction and areas of the campus used by students during the school day
- site requires proper wind break and trash containment system/fencing
- site requires routine/daily maintenance to pick up items dumped and/or improperly discarded
- site requires snow plowing subcontracted services (SBPS does not have capacity to continue providing this service)
- site requires aesthetic improvements to mitigate noise and appearance issues (we cannot have a noisy eyesore on school grounds)
- district requires sustainable and adequate funding to support the hosting of the site as there are operational and administrative costs related to the Recycling activities
- Community residents require a site that is easily accessible and centrally located in Leelanau County that can be supported with existing funding streams

Proposal for Investment (diagram attached)

SBPS proposes that the existing gravel parking lot on Herman Road serve as the host location for the Recycle Bins. The Proposed Investment is divided into "one time" and "ongoing" costs.

One Time Investment

- Excavate the southeast portion of the gravel lot and pour a cement pad (approximate size is 120 feet by 24 ft) with 2 foot high containment walls on three sides of the pad for the location of 8-12 Recycle bins - Estimated Cost is \$25,000-\$50,000
- Install 6 ft high containment fencing on the north, east and south sides of the cement pad/wall structure. Fencing to include proper wind break/screening material - Estimated Cost is \$5,000 to \$7,500
- Install 4-6 high arborvitae trees (or similar/equivalent) around the north, east and south sides of the cement pad/wall structure and extend 10-12 feet beyond the length of the fencing on the south and north sides - Estimated Cost \$3,500 to \$7,000
- Install 16-20 foot high utility pole with electric power supply and install surveillance equipment (cameras) - Estimated Cost \$2,500 to \$5,000
- Design and install signage to for the Recycling site to help keep it clean and improve resident compliance - Estimated Cost \$1,500

Ongoing Investment

- Subcontracted snow removal services - Estimated Cost \$2,500 to \$5,000 per year (amount would be billed as actual cost)
- Daily trash pick up (M-F, two employees, 15 minutes per employee, \$40/per hr) - \$5,200 per year
- Administrative host fee - \$2,500 per year
- Rent for site hosting - \$1,200 per month (estimated at \$5.00 per square foot)
- Parking lot grading, repair, and routine maintenance - \$2,500 per year
- Subcontracted services to monitor and manage surveillance system - Estimated Cost \$250 per month

Please let me know if you have any questions, comments, or additions.

Upward,

Casey Petz

Suttons Bay Superintendent
Lil' Norsemen Early Childhood Director
Office 231.271.8600 ext 400

About Us



Michigan Green Schools is a non-profit 501(c)3 agency dedicated to assisting all Michigan schools – public and private – achieve environmental goals which include protecting the air, land, water and animals of our state along with world outreach through good ecological practices and the teaching of educational stewardship of students pre-kindergarten through senior high school.

Michigan Green Schools began as an idea from students and teachers of Hartland Consolidated School District in the fall of 2005. It was determined that the best way to help Michigan achieve environmental goals through its schools was to formulate 20 points of educational environmental activities. It was further decided that if any school in Michigan achieved ten of these points within an academic year, it could achieve official Michigan Green School status.

Students and teachers then proceeded to propose their bill to local Representative Joe Hune, who introduced the bill in the Michigan House in 2006. Students and teachers testified before the House Natural Resources Committee; it was forwarded to the House floor. Public Act 146 of 2006 was approved by an overwhelming majority of that body.

State Senator Valde Garcia then sponsored the legislation before the Michigan Senate Natural Resources Committee, which voted to send the bill to the Senate floor. The Senate voted almost unanimously to approve the measure.

Governor Jennifer M. Granholm signed the bill May 21, 2006. In the first year of the program, 18 schools participated. This academic year of 2016, over 650 schools participated in the growing program.

Michigan Public Act 301 of 2010 revised the law governing how Michigan schools achieve Michigan Green School status. Michigan Public Act 301 of 2010 includes new provisions that allow for schools to attain multiple levels of Michigan Green School certification, complete new activities and be creative in developing their own activities. Schools that wish to develop their own activities need to contact their county coordinator for approval by December 1st. For the specifics on becoming a Michigan Green School please continue on

Fun Ways to Earn Points!

Many animals are facing unprecedented losses especially tigers and rhinos. Adopting one of these or other endangered animals is especially important now. Your school can adopt through The National Wildlife Foundation or The World Wildlife Foundation.

Michigan Wildlife Awareness



Did you know Michigan and Wisconsin are two of the last best hopes to save the **Karner Blue butterfly**? This butterfly is a federally endangered species – the most serious level facing extinction. The photo above shows the female, which is brownish grey.

This diminutive butterfly is found in southern Michigan and is in danger of extinction due to loss of habitat. The butterfly needs the blue lupine plant to continue reproducing.

to the [Green Activities](#) page and to the [Application](#) page.

Become a Green School and...



...help protect the Great Lakes! The Great Lakes contain 21.8% of the world's fresh surface water. No other state in America is surrounded by as many of the Great Lakes as Michigan.

We can provide some stewardship to keep them clean and safe for wildlife and future generations. Eliminating plastic bags and other plastic materials in any school or community has great benefit to the health of our lakes.

Writing legislators and town councils to ban plastic bags like a growing number of cities around America is a major way to help solve the problem. Schools can sign up for Adopt-a-Beach with The Alliance for the Great Lakes.

This is why one of the Michigan Green School activities is establishing native Michigan plant gardens catering to species that need native plants to survive. The [Michigan DNR](#) has information on this butterfly and how to help.

Ideas for activities:

- students can write letters to community leaders about limiting the use of harmful pesticides
- visit a local butterfly garden to learn how fragile butterflies are
- students can grow blue lupine in their classrooms and replant in the spring

Photo: Mary Rabe, Michigan DNR

© 2008-2021 [Michigan Green Schools](#). All Rights Reserved.

Members and visitors to the Michigan Green Schools website agree to abide by our [Policies](#). Please read our [Privacy Policy](#).

REVENUE & EXPENSE REPORT - CURRENT

Fund 230 Recycling Fund

County of Leelanau

Department

Period Ending Date: December 31, 2021

Account Number Account Name	Month-to-date Actual	Current Year-to-date Actual	Current Year Total Amended Budget	Percentage Spent/Received
Fund 230 Recycling Fund				
Fiscal Year 2021				
Revenues				
000000-401.000 Fund Balance Forward	0.00	0.00	15,505.00	0.00%
000000-402.000 Current Taxes - PA69 of 2005	0.00	356,951.38	444,512.00	80.30%
000000-402.003 Prior Years Tax Adjustments	0.00	81,545.09	0.00	100.00%
000000-420.000 Recycling - Delq Personals	0.00	76.27	0.00	100.00%
000000-635.000 Recycle Collections - G. T. Band	0.00	10,000.00	0.00	100.00%
000000-638.000 Tire Recycling Fees	0.00	3,636.00	1,500.00	242.40%
000000-687.000 Refunds & Rebates	0.00	2,463.04	3,500.00	70.37%
Revenues Total	0.00	454,671.78	465,017.00	97.78%
Expenses				
000000-702.000 Overtime	0.00	143.64	2,000.00	7.18%
000000-703.000 Salaries	0.00	250.02	0.00	100.00%
000000-704.000 Per Diem	0.00	610.00	4,000.00	15.25%
000000-717.000 Social Security	0.00	81.24	253.00	32.11%
000000-719.000 Retirement	0.00	30.59	155.00	19.74%
000000-727.000 Office/Operating Supplies	0.00	1,343.43	500.00	268.69%
000000-727.002 Office/Operating - Electronic	0.00	0.00	150.00	0.00%
000000-728.000 Postage	0.00	0.00	200.00	0.00%
000000-728.002 Postage - Electronic	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00%
000000-800.000 Attorney Fees	0.00	0.00	500.00	0.00%
000000-801.000 Contractual Svc- American Waste	0.00	143,142.08	308,371.00	46.42%

REVENUE & EXPENSE REPORT - CURRENT

Fund 230 Recycling Fund

County of Leelanau

Department

Period Ending Date: December 31, 2021

Account Number	Month-to-date Actual	Current Year-to-date Actual	Current Year Total Amended Budget	Percentage Spent/Received
Account Name				
000000-801.001 Contractual - Misc Services	0.00	11,703.79	0.00	100.00%
000000-801.002 Electronic Recycling	0.00	7,805.99	27,000.00	28.91%
000000-801.003 Contract. Services - HHW	0.00	41,856.90	80,000.00	52.32%
000000-801.004 Site Lease/ Maintenance	0.00	7,500.00	11,250.00	66.67%
000000-801.005 Tire Recycling Pickup	0.00	21,969.60	10,000.00	219.70%
000000-807.000 Membership Dues and Fees	0.00	149.99	150.00	99.99%
000000-808.000 Subscriptions	0.00	0.00	150.00	0.00%
000000-860.000 Travel	0.00	636.28	100.00	636.28%
000000-860.001 Taxable Travel	0.00	64.85	300.00	21.62%
000000-900.000 Printing and Publishing	0.00	1,263.88	4,000.00	31.60%
000000-900.002 Print/Publishing - Electronic	0.00	0.00	250.00	0.00%
000000-942.000 Copy Machine Charges (Rental)	0.00	0.00	88.00	0.00%
000000-960.000 Education	0.00	0.00	500.00	0.00%
000000-964.001 Refunds PA 69	0.00	203.00	0.00	100.00%
000000-999.000 Operating Transfers Out	0.00	15,000.00	15,000.00	100.00%
Expenses Total	0.00	253,755.28	465,017.00	54.57%
	0.00	200,916.50	0.00	100.00%
Revenues Total	0.00	454,671.78	465,017.00	97.78%
Expenses Fund Total	0.00	253,755.28	465,017.00	54.57%
Net (Rev/Exp)	0.00	200,916.50	0.00	
Beginning/Adjusted Balance		YTD Revenues	YTD Expenses	Current Fund Balance
363,906.22	+	454,671.78	-	253,755.28
			=	564,822.72
Grand Total for Revenues	0.00	454,671.78	465,017.00	97.78%

REVENUE & EXPENSE REPORT - CURRENT

Fund 230 Recycling Fund

County of Leelanau

Department

Period Ending Date: December 31, 2021

Account Number Account Name	Month-to-date Actual	Current Year-to-date Actual	Current Year Total Amended Budget	Percentage Spent/Received
Grand Total for Expenses	0.00	253,755.28	465,017.00	54.57%
Grand Total Net Rev/Exp	0.00	200,916.50	0.00	